

# The silicon lanthanide oxynitrides

R. R. WILLS

*Systems Research Laboratories, Inc, 2800 Indian Ripple Road, Dayton, Ohio, USA*

R. W. STEWART

*Technology, Inc, 3821 Colonel Glenn Highway, Dayton, Ohio, USA*

J. A. CUNNINGHAM, J. M. WIMMER

*Air Force Materials Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, USA*

A new group of materials called the silicon lanthanide oxynitrides has been prepared by the reaction between  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$  and several oxides of the lanthanide series ( $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Sm}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Dy}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Er}_2\text{O}_3$ , and  $\text{Yb}_2\text{O}_3$ ). These oxides formed compounds of the type  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{R}_2\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{R}_4\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7\text{N}_2$  (R being lanthanide). In addition  $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{Yb}_2\text{O}_3$  formed the compounds  $2\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{La}_2\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{Yb}_2\text{Si}_3\text{O}_5\text{N}_2$ , respectively. Certain similarities in the unit cells of these compounds have been noted, and their structures are discussed in terms of similarity to known minerals. It is suggested that this group of materials contains a large number of compounds.

## 1. Introduction

The fundamental structural unit in all silicates is the  $\text{SiO}_4$  group in which the silicon atom occupies the central position of a tetrahedron. Similarly  $\beta\text{-Si}_3\text{N}_4$  consists of  $\text{SiN}_4$  tetrahedra joined by sharing corners in a three-dimensional network [1]. The existence of a new group of ceramics in which the fundamental unit is the  $\text{Si}(\text{O}, \text{N})_4$  group has been suggested by Jack [2]. Except in the case of  $\text{Si}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , partial replacement of the silicon atoms is required to maintain electrical neutrality. Thus, in the sialons, which have a unit cell similar to  $\beta\text{-Si}_3\text{N}_4$  [3], the structural unit is  $(\text{Si}, \text{Al})(\text{O}, \text{N})_4$ , electrical neutrality being maintained by partial replacement of silicon by aluminum. The silicon can also be replaced by a combination of Ga and Al [4], Mg and Al [2], or Be and Al [2]. Furthermore, additional silicon-metal oxynitrides based upon  $\text{Si}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and cristobalite can be prepared [2].

If the metal ion is much larger than the  $\text{Si}^{4+}$  ion (0.42 Å) and exhibits little tendency to be tetrahedrally co-ordinated, formation of a substitutional silicon-metal oxynitride solid solution is not possible. This would appear to be the case with  $\text{Y}^{3+}$  (0.92 Å). The formation of three silicon yttrium oxynitrides has been reported [5, 6]. Ions having comparable properties might be expected to behave similarly.

This paper is concerned with the reaction of  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$  with  $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Sm}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Dy}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Er}_2\text{O}_3$ , and

$\text{Yb}_2\text{O}_3$ . Here La has been considered as a lanthanide, although strictly speaking it is not.

## 2. Experimental

The  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$  powder (~85%  $\alpha$ , 15%  $\beta$ ) was obtained from Advanced Materials Engineering Ltd, Gateshead, England. The  $\text{Dy}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Sm}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Er}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3$ , and  $\text{Yb}_2\text{O}_3$  were all high-purity powders having mean particle sizes of 3 to 7  $\mu\text{m}$ . The  $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{Sm}_2\text{O}_3$  powders hydrolysed slowly in air and, consequently, were calcined at 1000°C prior to use.

Compositions ranging from 10 to 90 mol%  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$  were prepared from each rare earth oxide,

TABLE I Compositions of the silicon lanthanide oxynitrides

$2\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{La}_2\text{O}_3$	$\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{La}_2\text{O}_3$	$\text{La}_4\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7\text{N}_2$
	$\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{Sm}_2\text{O}_3$	$\text{Sm}_4\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7\text{N}_2$
	$\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{Dy}_2\text{O}_3$	$\text{Dy}_4\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7\text{N}_2$
	$\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$	$\text{Y}_4\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7\text{N}_2$
	$\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{Er}_2\text{O}_3$	$\text{Er}_4\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7\text{N}_2$
$\text{Yb}_2\text{Si}_3\text{O}_5\text{N}_2$	$\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{Yb}_2\text{O}_3$	$\text{Yb}_4\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7\text{N}_2$

TABLE II Ionic radii (Ahren's scale) of the lanthanides

$\text{La}^{3+}$	1.14 Å	$\text{Y}^{3+}$	0.92 Å
$\text{Sm}^{3+}$	1.00 Å	$\text{Er}^{3+}$	0.89 Å
$\text{Dy}^{3+}$	0.92 Å	$\text{Yb}^{3+}$	0.86 Å

TABLE III X-ray diffraction spectra and unit cell dimensions of  $2\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{La}_2\text{O}_3$ 

<i>hkl</i>	<i>d</i> (Å)	Relative intensity	$Q_{\text{obs}}$	$Q_{\text{cal}}$
200	8.230	8	0.0148	0.0148
020	7.262	8	0.0190	0.0190
002	4.812	17	0.0432	0.0432
130, 221	4.698	36	0.0453	0.0464, 0.0445
112	4.450	24	0.0505	0.0516
230, 202	4.169	7	0.0576	0.0574, 0.0579
410	3.962	22	0.0637	0.0638
411	3.674	42	0.0741	0.0746
032	3.427	6	0.0852	0.0858
113, 412	3.065	65	0.1064	0.1056, 0.1070
340, 511	3.033	78	0.1087	0.1091, 0.1078
520	3.014	57	0.1101	0.1112
023, 213	2.937	85	0.1159	0.1161, 0.1167
332, 042	2.899	100	0.1190	0.1191, 0.1190
440, 530	2.724	16	0.1348	0.1349
512	2.655	20	0.1419	0.1402
531, 441	2.618	8	0.1459	0.1457, 0.1456
611	2.595	7	0.1485	0.1484
620, 350	2.572	3	0.1512	0.1517, 0.1518
540	2.442	41	0.1677	0.1681
160, 423	2.394	7	0.1745	0.1744, 0.1752
114	2.349	22	0.1812	0.1812
503, 053	2.302	9	0.1887	0.1894
360	2.217	10	0.2035	0.2039
134, 153, 641	2.136	15	0.2192	0.2191, 0.2194, 0.2195
702	2.112	20	0.2242	0.2240
404, 443, 533	2.078	21	0.2316	0.2318, 0.2320, 0.2321
461	2.045	16	0.2391	0.2405
171	2.020	10	0.2451	0.2468
424	1.999	23	0.2502	0.2508
740	1.974	5	0.2566	0.2567
741	1.933	21	0.2676	0.2675
015, 072	1.907	24	0.2750	0.2747, 0.2756
802, 172	1.890	8	0.2800	0.2794, 0.2792
154, 652	1.841	12	0.2950	0.295, 0.2946
363, 742	1.824	15	0.3006	0.3010, 0.2999
901, 372	1.798	16	0.3093	0.3098, 0.3087
840, 035	1.789	14	0.3124	0.3121, 0.3126
273, 752	1.706	20	0.3436	0.3442, 0.3426
145, 182	1.691	14	0.3497	0.3495, 0.3503
345	1.627	4	0.3778	0.3790
290, 10.21	1.582	28	0.3996	0.3987, 0.3989
680, 564	1.514	8	0.4363	0.4363, 0.4357
10.40, 635	1.499	11	0.4450	0.4450, 0.4454
10.41, 715, 772	1.481	14	0.4559	0.4558, 0.4555, 0.4563
11.11, 952	1.472	14	0.4615	0.4621, 0.4607
474	1.469	13	0.4634	0.4641
1.100	1.447	14	0.4776	0.4777
735, 583	1.424	7	0.4932	0.4934, 0.4938

$a = 16.460 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $b = 14.524 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $c = 9.624 \text{ \AA}$ : orthorhombic.

the powders being dry mixed in a Spex mixer/mill (Spex Industries, Inc, Metuchen, N.J.). Disc specimens (3 to 5 g), prepared by cold compaction of the mixed powders, were fired in an N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere in the temperature range 1500 to 1750° C. Firing was interrupted at various times and temperatures in order to observe the degree of completion of the reaction. Samples generally exhibited a 1 to 2% weight loss during firing. The sintered discs were examined by powder X-ray diffraction (CuK $\alpha$ , 35 kV, 15 mA) using a diffractometer. The number of phases present in each sample was confirmed with the aid of optical and SEM micrographs.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Compound formation

The compositions of the silicon lanthanide oxynitrides are shown in Table I. In all instances the

compounds Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>·R<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and R<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (R = La, Dy, Sm, Er, Yb) were formed. Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> also forms analogous oxynitrides [5], and thus the behaviour of Y is similar to that of the other lanthanide oxides studied. In addition, La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Yb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> formed the compounds 2Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>·La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Yb<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>N<sub>2</sub> respectively. The composition of the additional ytterbium oxynitride was initially considered to be 3Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>·2Yb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> but was modified after indexing its X-ray diffraction spectra, and noting its similarity with the pattern of Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>·Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. A similar problem arose with the formula R<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>N<sub>2</sub>. The formula proposed initially was Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>·3R<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, before it was determined that the structure was analogous to that of cuspidine. In the case of Y this has been discussed elsewhere [5]. Until the structure of the silicon lanthanum oxynitride 2Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>·La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is known, a similar uncertainty must exist with its formula.

TABLE IV X-ray diffraction spectra and unit cell dimensions of Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>·La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

<i>hkl</i>	<i>d</i> (Å)	Relative intensity	<i>Q</i> <sub>obs</sub>	<i>Q</i> <sub>cal</sub>
002	6.741	31	0.0220	0.0220
330, 401	4.590	3	0.0475	0.0474, 0.0476
411	4.436	5	0.0508	0.0503
203	4.070	3	0.0604	0.0600
412	3.850	6	0.0675	0.0668
422	3.650	84	0.0751	0.0746
004	3.410	14	0.0860	0.0879
104, 512	3.320	3	0.0907	0.0906, 0.0904
600, 531	3.250	3	0.0947	0.0948, 0.0950
204, 522	3.180	5	0.0989	0.0985, 0.0983
540	3.040	5	0.1082	0.108
630, 612	2.900	100	0.1189	0.1185, 0.1194
523	2.811	5	0.1266	0.1258
542, 404	2.771	25	0.1302	0.1299, 0.1301
105	2.673	9	0.1400	0.1400
641	2.652	9	0.1422	0.1424
623	2.545	3	0.1544	0.1548
325	2.414	9	0.1716	0.1716
811	2.381	18	0.1764	0.1767
901, 226, 714	2.136	13	0.2192	0.2188, 0.2189, 0.2196
316, 724	2.110	43	0.2246	0.2242, 0.2249
850, 615	2.065	3	0.2345	0.2344, 0.2348
851, 406, 734	2.039	7	0.2405	0.2399, 0.2400, 0.2399
426	1.996	31	0.2510	0.2505
941	1.959	5	0.2606	0.2609
007, 10.01, 715	1.928	30	0.2690	0.2693, 0.2688, 0.2691
952	1.826	15	0.2999	0.3011
10.13	1.781	7	0.3153	0.3154
11.30, 881, 11.12, 944	1.708	15	0.3428	0.3423, 0.3426, 0.3432, 0.3434
10.71, 707, 338	1.584	8	0.3986	0.3979, 0.3983, 0.3991

*a* = 19.487 Å, *c* = 13.489 Å: tetragonal.

TABLE V X-ray diffraction spectra and unit cell dimensions of  $\text{La}_4\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7\text{N}_2$ 

$hkl$	$d(\text{\AA})$	Relative intensity	$Q_{\text{obs}}$	$Q_{\text{cal}}$
210, 211	7.563	10	0.0175	0.0175, 0.0174
400	5.488	6	0.0332	0.0331
220	4.701	27	0.0452	0.0450
510	4.077	8	0.0602	0.0609
204, 304, 513	3.875	5	0.0667	0.0667, 0.0668, 0.0670
420, 104	3.774	10	0.0702	0.0698, 0.0707
61, $40\bar{2}$ , 223	3.689	15	0.0735	0.0735, 0.0732, 0.0740
130	3.443	8	0.0844	0.0847
$10\bar{4}$ , 230	3.308	34	0.0914	0.0911, 0.0909
722, $60\bar{1}$ , 523	3.249	100	0.0947	0.0946, 0.0948, 0.0945
331, 132	3.181	13	0.0988	0.0985, 0.0993
$22\bar{3}$ , $61\bar{1}$	3.094	27	0.1044	0.1047, 0.1040
$52\bar{1}$ , $51\bar{2}$ , $20\bar{4}$ , 124	3.058	34	0.1069	0.1062, 0.1076, 0.1074
802, 620, 505	2.991	42	0.1118	0.1113, 0.1112, 0.1111
524, 430, 024, 803	2.936	52	0.1160	0.1163, 0.1157, 0.1156, 0.1156
515, 812, 233	2.885	45	0.1202	0.1203, 0.1205, 0.1199
800, 015, 115	2.745	6	0.1328	0.1325, 0.1324, 0.1332
902, 810, 425	2.659	14	0.1414	0.1414, 0.1417, 0.1420
$42\bar{3}$ , 724	2.625	19	0.1451	0.1448, 0.1455
$40\bar{4}$ , 134	2.556	9	0.1530	0.1528, 0.1533
606, 025, 125	2.498	4	0.1602	0.1600, 0.1599, 0.1607
$71\bar{2}$ , 340, $21\bar{5}$ , 824	2.455	4	0.1659	0.1661, 0.1655, 0.1662, 0.1664
116, $13\bar{4}$ , $14\bar{2}$	2.401	8	0.1734	0.1733, 0.1738
006, $63\bar{1}$	2.374	4	0.1774	0.1774
716, $30\bar{5}$ , 440	2.353	4	0.1806	0.1808, 0.1801, 0.1800
832, $82\bar{1}$	2.269	10	0.1942	0.1940, 0.1946
643, 11.13, $44\bar{2}$	2.134	21	0.2196	0.2198, 0.2201
150, 126, 436, 10.06	2.078	11	0.2316	0.2316, 0.2315, 0.2319, 0.2312
817, $83\bar{1}$ , 527, 427	2.040	20	0.2402	0.2402, 0.2404, 0.2406, 0.2398
$63\bar{3}$ , $81\bar{3}$ , 11.23, 252	2.010	22	0.2475	0.2474, 0.2473, 0.2472
$45\bar{1}$ , 1002, $93\bar{1}$ , 027, $13\bar{6}$	1.897	26	0.2778	0.2778, 0.2782, 0.2774
11.11, 12.06	1.850	7	0.2922	0.2927, 0.2917
944, 256, 814, 653	1.821	13	0.3017	0.3015, 0.3019, 0.3022, 0.3024
$50\bar{6}$ , 12.25, $84\bar{1}$	1.809	16	0.3056	0.3058, 0.3048, 0.3047

$a = 23.948 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $b = 10.437 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $c = 15.538 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $\beta = 113.53^\circ$ : monoclinic.

TABLE VI X-ray diffraction spectra and unit cell dimensions of  $\text{Sm}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ 

$hkl$	$d(\text{\AA})$	Relative intensity	$Q_{\text{obs}}$	$Q_{\text{cal}}$	$hkl$	$d(\text{\AA})$	Relative intensity	$Q_{\text{obs}}$	$Q_{\text{cal}}$
110	5.406	27	0.0342	0.0340	311	2.182	11	0.2100	0.2104
001	4.952	19	0.0408	0.0405	202	2.094	6	0.2280	0.2301
200	3.834	9	0.0680	0.0679	212	2.020	27	0.2451	0.2471
111	3.663	12	0.0745	0.0745	400	1.916	9	0.2724	0.2717
210	3.421	20	0.0854	0.0849	410	1.861	9	0.2887	0.2887
201	3.044	27	0.1079	0.1085	222	1.829	4	0.2989	0.2981
211	2.830	100	0.1249	0.1255	330	1.811	12	0.3049	0.3057
220	2.711	49	0.1361	0.1359	401	1.792	4	0.3114	0.3123
002	2.488	9	0.1615	0.1622	411	1.742	25	0.3295	0.3293
310	2.424	17	0.1702	0.1698	420	1.717	4	0.3392	0.3397
221	2.386	4	0.1756	0.1764	331	1.700	11	0.3460	0.3462
102	2.377	4	0.1770	0.1792					
301	2.274	4	0.1937	0.1934					
112	2.267	4	0.1946	0.1962					

$a = 7.673 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $c = 4.966 \text{ \AA}$ : tetragonal.

TABLE VII X-ray diffraction spectra and unit cell dimensions of  $\text{Sm}_4\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7\text{N}_2$ 

$hkl$	$d$ (Å)	Relative intensity	$Q_{\text{obs}}$	$Q_{\text{cal}}$
110 (101)	7.491	8	0.0178	0.0178
210	4.730	12	0.0447	0.0428
120	4.642	27	0.0464	0.0461
102, 202	3.806	7	0.0690	0.0669, 0.0712
311	3.695	7	0.0732	0.0733
21	3.487	8	0.0822	0.0832
012	3.364	9	0.0884	0.0884
130	3.276	32	0.0932	0.0933
321, 312	3.145	100	0.1011	0.1016, 0.1017
221, 401	2.991	32	0.1118	0.1118
022	2.924	41	0.1169	0.1169
230	2.887	61	0.1200	0.1183
131	2.851	13	0.1230	0.1234
301	2.820	13	0.1257	0.1257
412	2.671	27	0.1402	0.1394
040	2.576	27	0.1507	0.1510
140, 213	2.506	9	0.1592	0.1594, 0.1590
241	2.333	11	0.1837	0.1835
22 $\bar{2}$	2.285	7	0.1915	0.1915
500	2.194	7	0.2077	0.2082
042	2.083	18	0.2305	0.2302
150, 333	2.021	30	0.2448	0.2443, 0.2452
204	1.943	18	0.2649	0.2675
530, 114	1.850	23	0.2922	0.2933
40 $\bar{2}$	1.838	20	0.2960	0.2950
251	1.796	13	0.3100	0.3097
014	1.750	18	0.3250	0.3262
32 $\bar{3}$	1.614	27	0.3839	0.3838
353	1.589	7	0.3960	0.3963
162	1.568	7	0.4067	0.4067
062, 244, 344	1.545	7	0.4189	0.4190, 0.4186, 0.4190

$a = 11.965$  Å,  $b = 10.292$  Å,  $c = 7.761$  Å,  $\beta = 113.69^\circ$ : monoclinic.

TABLE VIII X-ray diffraction spectra and unit cell dimensions of  $\text{Dy}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ 

$hkl$	$d$ (Å)	Relative intensity	$Q_{\text{obs}}$	$Q_{\text{cal}}$
220	5.400	8	0.0343	0.0344
003	4.951	20	0.0408	0.0409
400	3.814	10	0.0687	0.0687
223	3.645	15	0.0753	0.0753
420	3.411	21	0.0859	0.0859
422	3.086	9	0.1050	0.1041
403	3.015	25	0.1100	0.1096
324	2.794	100	0.1281	0.1285
532	2.466	12	0.1644	0.1642
620, 116	2.414	17	0.1716	0.1718, 0.1721
623	2.169	4	0.2126	0.2127
633, 712	2.069	4	0.2336	0.2341, 0.2329
703, 445	1.995	25	0.2512	0.2513, 0.2510
516, 800	1.905	6	0.2756	0.2751, 0.2748
802, 820	1.846	6	0.2934	0.2930, 0.2920
660, 536, 427	1.799	6	0.3090	0.3092, 0.3095, 0.3085
750, 831, 606	1.776	2	0.3170	0.3178, 0.3180, 0.3181
228, 705	1.754	3	0.3250	0.3251, 0.3240
752, 626	1.726	22	0.3357	0.3360, 0.3353

$a = 15.260$  Å,  $c = 14.838$  Å: tetragonal.

TABLE IX X-ray diffraction spectra and unit cell dimensions of Dy<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>N<sub>2</sub>

<i>hkl</i>	<i>d</i> (Å)	Relative intensity	<i>Q</i> <sub>obs</sub>	<i>Q</i> <sub>cal</sub>
110	7.300	9	0.0188	0.0190
11 $\bar{1}$	4.580	15	0.0477	0.0472
130	3.206	12	0.0973	0.0982
10 $\bar{2}$	3.076	100	0.1057	0.1048
321	2.931	21	0.1164	0.1156
022	2.909	21	0.1182	0.1182
320	2.873	24	0.1212	0.1216
230	2.837	38	0.1242	0.1255
411	2.665	4	0.1408	0.1412
400	2.619	7	0.1458	0.1458
410, 402	2.533	11	0.1558	0.1557, 0.1561
040, 21 $\bar{2}$	2.513	10	0.1583	0.1584, 0.1591
331, 412	2.459	3	0.1654	0.1651, 0.1660
140, 032	2.440	4	0.1680	0.1675, 0.1677
313	2.290	4	0.1907	0.1919
511	2.159	2	0.2145	0.2147
23 $\bar{2}$	2.047	4	0.2386	0.2382
32 $\bar{2}$	1.987	11	0.2533	0.2513
503	1.897	7	0.2779	0.2766
204	1.886	5	0.2811	0.2825
13 $\bar{3}$ , 33 $\bar{2}$	1.822	10	0.3012	0.3006, 0.3009
440, 22 $\bar{3}$ , 314	1.813	11	0.3042	0.3041, 0.3040, 0.3039
224	1.763	5	0.3217	0.3221
621	1.723	8	0.3368	0.3361
260, 450	1.596	6	0.3926	0.3927, 0.0392
261, 60 $\bar{1}$	1.583	9	0.3991	0.3987, 0.3989
702	1.571	5	0.4052	0.4056

$a = 11.053$  Å,  $b = 10.052$  Å,  $c = 7.527$  Å,  $\beta = 108.59^\circ$ : monoclinic.

TABLE X X-ray diffraction spectra and unit cell dimensions of Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> · Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>

<i>hkl</i>	<i>d</i> (Å)	Relative intensity	<i>Q</i> <sub>obs</sub>	<i>Q</i> <sub>cal</sub>	<i>hkl</i>	<i>d</i> (Å)	Relative intensity	<i>Q</i> <sub>obs</sub>	<i>Q</i> <sub>cal</sub>
110	5.400	8	0.0343	0.0346	202	2.065	3	0.2345	0.2353
001	4.951	11	0.0408	0.0415	122	1.991	19	0.2523	0.2526
200	3.830	7	0.0682	0.0693	400	1.897	8	0.2779	0.2772
111	3.644	15	0.0753	0.0762	410	1.843	7	0.2944	0.2945
120	3.411	9	0.0859	0.0866	222	1.812	12	0.3046	0.3046
201	3.015	25	0.1100	0.1108	330	1.792	10	0.3114	0.3118
121	2.794	100	0.1281	0.1281	411	1.726	12	0.3357	0.3359
220	2.696	5	0.1376	0.1386	312	1.717	17	0.3392	0.3392
002	2.459	9	0.1654	0.1660	420	1.699	5	0.3464	0.3464
130	2.408	17	0.1724	0.1732	331	1.682	9	0.3535	0.3533
221	2.359	4	0.1797	0.1801	213	1.474	12	0.4603	0.4602
102	2.336	3	0.1832	0.1833	332	1.449	5	0.4763	0.4778
301	2.252	3	0.1978	0.1974	521	1.359	9	0.5414	0.5438
112	2.230	3	0.2011	0.2006					
131	2.159	4	0.2145	0.2147					

$a = 7.598$  Å,  $c = 4.908$  Å: tetragonal.

TABLE XI X-ray diffraction spectra and unit cell dimensions of  $Y_4Si_2O_7N_2$

<i>hkl</i>	<i>d</i> (Å)	Relative intensity	<i>Q</i> <sub>obs</sub>	<i>Q</i> <sub>cal</sub>
110	7.248	12	0.0190	0.0190
020	5.034	2	0.0395	0.0394
120	4.525	15	0.0488	0.0485
220	3.644	5	0.0753	0.0759
130	3.195	22	0.0980	0.0978
131	3.055	100	0.1071	0.1088
022	2.919	15	0.1174	0.1174
320	2.864	31	0.1219	0.1215
230	2.828	54	0.1250	0.1251
401	2.736	7	0.1336	0.1317
$\bar{3}11$	2.712	7	0.1360	0.1368
400	2.619	8	0.1458	0.1459
040	2.519	16	0.1576	0.1576
203, $\bar{2}31$	2.499	11	0.1601	0.1612, 0.1615
$\bar{3}21$ , 412	2.453	6	0.1662	0.1662
313	2.284	6	0.1917	0.1913
240	2.273	5	0.1936	0.1941
$\bar{3}31$	2.149	4	0.2165	0.2156
340	2.042	10	0.2398	0.2397
521	2.029	7	0.2429	0.2447
$\bar{3}22$	1.979	15	0.2553	0.2501
250	1.890	15	0.2799	0.2827
$\bar{3}41$	1.875	7	0.2844	0.2845
$\bar{2}42$ , 602	1.815	14	0.3056	0.3058, 0.5050
611	1.805	14	0.3069	0.3071
$\bar{2}51$	1.773	5	0.3181	0.3191
351	1.760	6	0.3228	0.3225
600, 350	1.749	6	0.3269	0.3284
610	1.717	14	0.3392	0.3382
$\bar{6}01$	1.588	5	0.3966	0.3985
034	1.576	11	0.4026	0.4004
$\bar{6}11$	1.564	8	0.4088	0.4084
$\bar{2}61$	1.531	6	0.4266	0.4275
361	1.526	6	0.4294	0.4308
360	1.517	5	0.4345	0.4337

$a = 11.038$  Å,  $b = 10.076$  Å,  $c = 7.552$  Å,  $\beta = 108.46^\circ$ : monoclinic.

In the course of identifying these compounds and determining the conditions required to achieve equilibrium, it was observed that in the initial stages of the reactions, a compound of composition  $R_4Si_2O_7N_2$  was always formed, even from mixtures containing high concentrations of  $Si_3N_4$ . Samples containing  $Sm_2O_3$  or  $La_2O_3$  exhibited melting at low  $Si_3N_4$  concentrations; the observed melting points were  $1550^\circ$  C for  $Si_3N_4-La_2O_3$  and  $1600^\circ$  C for  $Si_3N_4-Sm_2O_3$ .

The lanthanide ions have similar electronic structures and ionic radii (Table II), the  $R^{3+}$  ion being the usual oxidation state. The chemistry of the group is thus frequently very uniform. For example, the lanthanide oxides form silicates having general formulae  $R_2O_3 \cdot SiO_2$  and  $R_2O_3 \cdot 2SiO_2$  [7], and borates having general formulae  $R_2O_3 \cdot B_2O_3$

and  $3R_2O_3 \cdot B_2O_3$  [8]. The formation of silicon lanthanide oxynitride appears to be consistent with this behaviour.

### 3.2 X-ray diffraction spectra and crystal structures

The diffraction spectra, observed and calculated  $Q$  values ( $Q = 4 \sin^2\theta/\lambda^2$ ), and lattice parameters of the compounds are given in Tables III to XVI. The data for  $Si_3N_4 \cdot Y_2O_3$  and  $Y_4Si_2O_7N_2$  [5] have been included for completeness. Comparison of these spectra indicates certain similarities. The spectra of the  $R_4Si_2O_7N_2$  compounds of Sm, Dy, Y, Er and Yb are similar, and each can be indexed on the basis of a similar monoclinic unit cell (e.g.  $Er_4Si_2O_7N_2$ ,  $a = 10.987$  Å,  $b = 10.100$  Å,  $c = 7.528$  Å,  $\beta = 108.72^\circ$ ). The spectra of  $Si_3N_4 \cdot$

TABLE XII X-ray diffraction spectra and unit cell dimensions of  $\text{Er}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$ 

$hkl$	$d(\text{Å})$	Relative intensity	$Q_{\text{obs}}$	$Q_{\text{cal}}$
002	7.252	4	0.0190	0.0190
220	5.374	7	0.0346	0.0346
212	4.906	20	0.0416	0.0406
103	4.635	7	0.0466	0.0471
311	4.557	7	0.0482	0.0480
320	4.246	5	0.0555	0.0563
321	4.036	4	0.0614	0.0610
213	3.931	6	0.0647	0.0644
400	3.794	13	0.0695	0.0693
004	3.627	15	0.0760	0.0760
313	3.409	21	0.0860	0.0861
204	3.264	10	0.0939	0.0934
214	3.202	9	0.0975	0.0977
500	3.054	37	0.1072	0.1082
224	3.002	35	0.1110	0.1107
005	2.908	10	0.1182	0.1188
333, 314	2.887	10	0.1200	0.1207, 0.1193
520	2.832	39	0.1247	0.1255
502	2.794	100	0.1281	0.1272
324	2.736	30	0.1336	0.1323
205	2.720	30	0.1352	0.1362
414	2.597	10	0.1483	0.1496
442, 305	2.520	9	0.1575	0.1575, 0.1578
601	2.493	19	0.1609	0.1606
532	2.450	19	0.1666	0.1662
620	2.399	20	0.1738	0.1732
444	2.157	10	0.2149	0.2146
614	2.058	5	0.2361	0.2362
117	2.039	7	0.2405	0.2416
554	1.986	15	0.2535	0.2535
615	1.896	7	0.2782	0.2790
652	1.881	7	0.2826	0.2831
545, 820	1.840	7	0.2954	0.2963, 0.2944

$a = 15.199 \text{ Å}, c = 14.504 \text{ Å}$ : tetragonal.

$\text{Er}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{Yb}_2\text{O}_3$ , and  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{Dy}_2\text{O}_3$  form a second group, and those of  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{Sm}_2\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{Yb}_2\text{Si}_3\text{O}_5\text{N}_2$  form a third group. The spectra of the last two groups were indexed as tetragonal unit cells, the first group having a larger cell size (e.g.,  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{Er}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $a = 15.199 \text{ Å}$ ,  $c = 14.504 \text{ Å}$ ;  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{Sm}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $a = 7.673 \text{ Å}$ ,  $c = 4.966 \text{ Å}$ ). Rae *et al.* [6] and Tsuge *et al.* [9] have also indexed the spectra of  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$ , and their values for the lattice parameters are in close agreement with those given in Table X. The spectra of the silicon lanthanum oxynitrides were indexed on larger unit cells:  $2\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{La}_2\text{O}_3$  orthorhombic  $a = 16.460 \text{ Å}$ ,  $b = 14.524 \text{ Å}$ ,  $c = 9.624 \text{ Å}$ ;  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{La}_2\text{O}_3$  tetragonal  $a = 19.487 \text{ Å}$ ,  $c = 13.489 \text{ Å}$ ;  $\text{La}_4\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7\text{N}_2$  monoclinic  $a = 23.948 \text{ Å}$ ,  $b = 10.437 \text{ Å}$ ,  $c = 15.538 \text{ Å}$ ,  $\beta = 113.53^\circ$ .

Warsaw and Roy [7] have reviewed the oxides, aluminates and silicates of the lanthanides and

have concluded that they can be classified into three groups based upon the size of the lanthanide ionic radii. A similar classification may be made in the present instance, but it should be considered tentative since only six lanthanides have been studied. The similarities in crystal structures noted above suggest that the  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{R}_2\text{O}_3$  compounds can be divided into three groups; the smallest rare earths Yb, Er, and Dy form one group, the rare earths of intermediate size Y and Sm form a second, and the largest rare earth La forms a third group. Dy may represent a transitional stage between the first two groups since its spectra, except for one line at  $d = 3.086 \text{ Å}$ , can be indexed on the smaller tetragonal unit cell. In the  $\text{R}_4\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7\text{N}_2$  compounds only two groups are suggested — La forming one group and the other five elements forming the other.



TABLE XIII X-ray diffraction spectra and unit cell dimensions of  $\text{Er}_4\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7\text{N}_2$ 

$hkl$	$d$ (Å)	Relative intensity	$Q_{\text{obs}}$	$Q_{\text{cal}}$
110	7.256	16	0.0190	0.0190
200	5.227	3	0.0366	0.0369
020	5.058	3	0.0391	0.0392
210, $10\bar{2}$	4.634	10	0.0466	0.0468, 0.0462
120	4.541	32	0.0485	0.0484
220	3.626	5	0.0760	0.0762
012	3.348	3	0.0892	0.0867
212	3.297	3	0.0920	0.0909
130	3.201	22	0.0976	0.0975
031	3.050	100	0.1075	0.1079
022, $321$	2.911	24	0.1180	0.1180, 0.1161
222	2.882	23	0.1204	0.1203
320	2.862	45	0.1221	0.1223
230, $13\bar{1}$	2.825	77	0.1253	0.1252, 0.1258
231	2.798	5	0.1277	0.1276
400	2.607	10	0.1471	0.1478
040, $410$	2.521	22	0.1573	0.1568, 0.1576
103	2.503	15	0.1596	0.1606
203, $23\bar{1}$	2.486	8	0.1618	0.1623, 0.1622
331	2.467	8	0.1643	0.1651
142	2.436	8	0.1685	0.1685
213	2.394	8	0.1745	0.1721
313	2.285	8	0.1915	0.1924
511	2.135	5	0.2194	0.2171
510, $340$	2.039	10	0.2405	0.2407, 0.2400
050	2.025	6	0.2439	0.2451
150	1.983	15	0.2543	0.2543
$15\bar{1}$ , $250$	1.880	15	0.2829	0.2827, 0.2820
$22\bar{3}$ , $440$	1.810	15	0.3052	0.3054, 0.3036
$24\bar{2}$ , $41\bar{2}$	1.805	15	0.3069	0.3072, 0.3063
610, $343$	1.713	15	0.3408	0.3423, 0.3394
160	1.665	5	0.3607	0.3622
$25\bar{2}$	1.591	10	0.3951	0.3954
034	1.579	15	0.4011	0.4034
$51\bar{2}$	1.562	10	0.4099	0.4060
$40\bar{3}$ , $361$	1.527	10	0.4289	0.4289, 0.4298
550, $044$	1.451	5	0.4750	0.4760, 0.4720
170, $640$	1.430	5	0.4890	0.4896, 0.4893
$025$ , $70\bar{1}$	1.372	5	0.5312	0.5317, 0.5328

$a = 10.987$  Å,  $b = 10.100$  Å,  $c = 7.528$  Å,  $\beta = 108.72^\circ$ : monoclinic.

### 3.3. Isomorphism

Rae *et al.* [10] have shown that the structure of  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$  is analogous to that of Akermanite ( $\text{Ca}_2\text{MgSi}_2\text{O}_7$ ,  $a = 7.846$  Å,  $c = 5.020$  Å). In view of the similarity of the unit cells of  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{Y}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{Sm}_2\text{O}_3$ , and  $\text{Yb}_2\text{Si}_3\text{O}_5\text{N}_2$  it is likely that the last two compounds are also isostructural with Akermanite. On this basis the compositions may be represented by the formulae  $\text{Y}_2\text{Si}_3(\text{O}, \text{N})_7$ ,  $\text{Sm}_2\text{Si}_3(\text{O}, \text{N})_7$ , and  $\text{Yb}_2\text{Si}_3(\text{O}, \text{N})_7$ . The structures of  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{Dy}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{Er}_2\text{O}_3$ , and  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{Yb}_2\text{O}_3$  are probably also closely related to the Akermanite structure since their unit-cell dimensions are double (for the  $a$  lattice parameter) and

triple (for the  $c$  lattice parameter) those of the  $\text{Y}_2\text{Si}_3(\text{O}, \text{N})_7$  cell.

Wills *et al.* [5] have suggested that  $\text{Y}_4\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7\text{N}_2$  is isostructural with the silicates of the wohlerite-cuspidine series (cuspidine  $\text{Ca}_4\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7\text{F}_2$  monoclinic  $a = 10.35$  Å,  $b = 10.43$  Å,  $c = 7.55$  Å,  $\beta = 110^\circ 4'$ ). The similarity in cell structure and dimensions suggests that the  $\text{R}_4\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7\text{N}_2$  compounds of Dy, Sm, Er and Yb are also isostructural with cuspidine. The  $a$  and  $c$  lattice parameters of  $\text{La}_4\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7\text{N}_2$  are double those of the cuspidine unit cell; consequently, the structure is also likely to be closely related to that of cuspidine. Substitution of the cations in this structure can also occur. Thus, Y

TABLE XIV X-ray diffraction spectral and unit cell dimensions of  $\text{Yb}_2\text{Si}_3\text{O}_5\text{N}_2$

$hkl$	$d(\text{Å})$	Relative intensity	$Q_{\text{obs}}$	$Q_{\text{cal}}$
110	5.335	11	0.0351	0.0350
001	4.870	30	0.422	0.0421
200	3.814	12	0.0687	0.0700
111	3.601	12	0.771	0.0771
210	3.373	28	0.0879	0.0876
201	2.985	24	0.1122	0.1121
211	2.777	100	0.1297	0.1296
002	2.440	11	0.1680	0.1683
310	2.390	17	0.1751	0.1751
311	2.144	9	0.2175	0.2172
202	2.047	4	0.2386	0.2383
212	1.975	21	0.2564	0.2559
400	1.890	8	0.2800	0.2802
410	1.826	10	0.2999	0.2977
330	1.787	10	0.3132	0.3152
411	1.717	11	0.3392	0.3398
312	1.708	12	0.3428	0.3434
331	1.674	10	0.3568	0.3573

$a = 7.557 \text{ Å}, c = 4.875 \text{ Å}$ : tetragonal.

can be replaced by Ca [10] and Si by Al [12], in the latter case giving first  $\text{Y}_4(\text{Si}, \text{Al})\text{O}_8\text{N}$  which was originally thought to be  $5\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  [11]. On further substitution  $2\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  is formed. In each case electrical neutrality is maintained by substituting oxygen for nitrogen as in the Si—Al—O—N system. An additional example of isomorphism has been observed in the third silicon yttrium oxynitride  $\text{Y}_5(\text{SiO}_4)_3\text{N}$  [5], its structure being analogous to that of fluoroapatite [12].

This work together with that of Rae *et al.* [6] suggests that an extensive range of compounds exists in this new group of silicon—metal oxynitrides and that they are related to known silicates, phosphates, nitrides, etc.

### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank R. Gray for technical assistance.

TABLE XV X-ray diffraction spectra and unit cell dimensions of  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4 \cdot \text{Yb}_2\text{O}_3$

$hkl$	$d(\text{Å})$	Relative intensity	$Q_{\text{obs}}$	$Q_{\text{cal}}$
002	7.248	18	0.0190	0.0190
220	5.368	11	0.0347	0.0347
212, 003	4.896	30	0.0417	0.0407, 0.0428
221	4.742	25	0.0445	0.0438
301	4.525	23	0.0488	0.0481
113	4.414	10	0.0513	0.0515
312	4.018	6	0.0619	0.0624
213	3.914	6	0.0653	0.0645
400	3.798	13	0.0693	0.0694
322	3.630	14	0.0759	0.0754
004	3.615	20	0.0765	0.0761
114	3.437	4	0.0846	0.0848
420	3.386	28	0.0872	0.0867
412	3.287	5	0.0926	0.0927
204	3.229	18	0.0959	0.0935
214, 332	3.197	21	0.0978	0.0978, 0.0971
500, 430	3.025	72	0.1093	0.1084
224	2.995	50	0.1115	0.1108
005	2.891	19	0.1197	0.1190
333	2.864	17	0.1219	0.1209
520	2.820	70	0.1258	0.1257
521	2.777	100	0.1297	0.1305
440, 205	2.696	27	0.1376	0.1387, 0.1363
530	2.612	30	0.1466	0.1474
531	2.575	12	0.1508	0.1522
600	2.536	10	0.1567	0.1561
610	2.506	18	0.1592	0.1604
424	2.479	15	0.1627	0.1628
523	2.440	22	0.1680	0.1686
602	2.390	25	0.1751	0.1751

$a = 15.188 \text{ Å}, c = 14.506 \text{ Å}$ : tetragonal.

TABLE XVI X-ray diffraction spectra and unit cell dimensions of  $\text{Yb}_4\text{Si}_2\text{O}_7\text{N}_2$ 

$hkl$	$d(\text{\AA})$	Relative intensity	$Q_{\text{obs}}$	$Q_{\text{cal}}$
110	7.248	15	0.0190	0.0190
020	5.034	2	0.0395	0.0396
11 $\bar{1}$	4.766	6	0.0440	0.0477
211, 121	4.548	25	0.0483	0.0486, 0.0488
220	3.615	5	0.0765	0.0762
112, 202	3.517	2	0.0808	0.0807, 0.0805
212, 012	3.336	5	0.0899	0.0904, 0.0892
130	3.195	22	0.0980	0.0983
031	3.035	100	0.1086	0.1090
022	2.900	22	0.1189	0.1189
222	2.873	15	0.1212	0.1201
320	2.846	35	0.1235	0.1219
230	2.820	70	0.1258	0.1258
31 $\bar{1}$	2.704	6	0.1368	0.1385
400	2.612	7	0.1466	0.1463
20 $\bar{2}$	2.583	11	0.1499	0.1518
040	2.506	20	0.1592	0.1585
21 $\bar{2}$	2.485	15	0.1619	0.1611
140	2.440	7	0.1680	0.1677
330	2.415	5	0.1715	0.1714
13 $\bar{2}$ , 240	2.263	6	0.1953	0.1952, 0.1951
431	2.125	3	0.2215	0.2199
24 $\bar{1}$	2.074	2	0.2325	0.2326
521	2.026	9	0.2436	0.2438
050	2.012	9	0.2470	0.2477
150	1.975	16	0.2564	0.2569
34 $\bar{1}$	1.864	16	0.2878	0.2871

$a = 11.071 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $b = 10.046 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $c = 7.519 \text{ \AA}$ ,  $\beta = 109.15^\circ$ : monoclinic.

## References

1. K. H. JACK and D. HARDIE, *Nature* **180** (1957) 332.
2. K. H. JACK, *Trans. J. Brit. Ceram. Soc.* **72** (1973) 376.
3. K. H. JACK and W. I. WILSON, *Nature Phys. Sci.* **285** (1972) 28.
4. Y. OYAMA, *Jap. J. Appl. Phys.* **11** (1972) 1572.
5. R. R. WILLS, S. HOLMQUIST, J. M. WIMMER and J. A. CUNNINGHAM, *J. Mater. Sci.* to be published.
6. A. W. J. M. RAE, D. P. THOMPSON, N. J. PIPKIN and K. H. JACK, in "Special Ceramics", Vol. 6 (British Ceramic Research Association, Stoke-on-Trent, England, 1975) pp. 347–358.
7. I. WARSHAW and R. ROY, "Progress in the Science and Technology of the Rare Earths", Vol. I (McMillan, New York, 1964) pp. 203–221.
8. S. E. BARTRAM, "Rare Earth Research II" (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1964) pp. 165–180.
9. A. TSUGE, H. KUDO and K. KOMEYA, *J. Amer. Ceram. Soc.* **57** (1974) 269.
10. R. R. WILLS, SRL Quarterly Progress Report 3165-2 for May–August 1975 (Systems Research Laboratories, Inc, Dayton, Ohio, September 1975).
11. R. R. WILLS, *J. Amer. Ceram. Soc.* **58** (1975) 335.
12. D. P. THOMPSON, Discussion Section, in [6], p. 358.

Received 28 May and accepted 20 October 1975.